arXiv:1612.08201v2 [math.OC] 18 Jan 2017

Optimal control of the coefficient for fractional and regional fractional p-Laplace equations: Approximation and convergence *

Harbir Antil[†], Mahamadi Warma[‡]

January 20, 2017

Abstract In this paper we study optimal control problems with either fractional or regional fractional p-Laplace equation, of order s and $p \in [2, \infty)$, as constraints over a bounded open set with Lipschitz continuous boundary. The control, which fulfills the pointwise box constraints, is given by the coefficient of the involved operator. To overcome the degeneracy of both fractional p-Laplacians, we introduce a regularization for both operators. We show existence and uniqueness of solution to the regularized state equations and existence of solution to the regularized optimal control problems. We also prove several auxiliary results for the regularized problems which are of independent interest. We conclude with the convergence of the regularized solutions.

Key Words Fractional p-Laplace operator, non-constant coefficient, quasi-linear nonlocal elliptic boundary value problems, optimal control.

AMS subject classification 35R11, 49J20, 49J45, 93C73.

1 Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded open set with boundary $\partial\Omega$ and $p \in [2, \infty)$. In this paper we introduce and investigate the existence and approximation of solution to the following **optimal control problem (OCP)**:

$$\text{Minimize} \left\{ \mathbb{I}(\kappa, u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |u - \xi|^2 \, dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla \kappa| \right\}, \tag{1.1}$$

subject to the **state constraints** given by either the regional fractional p-Laplace equation

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}^s_{\Omega,p}(\kappa, u) + u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

*The work of the first author is partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1521590. The work of the second author is partially supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under the Award No: FA9550-15-1-0027

[†]Department of Mathematical Sciences, George Mason University, Fairfax, VA 22030, USA. hantil@gmu.edu

[‡]University of Puerto Rico (Rio Piedras Campus), College of Natural Sciences, Department of Mathematics, PO Box 70377 San Juan PR 00936-8377, USA. mahamadi.warma1@upr.edu, mjwarma@gmail.com

or the fractional p-Laplace equation

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)_p^s(\kappa, u) + u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega. \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

The control κ fulfills the **control constraints**

$$\kappa \in \mathfrak{A}_{ad} := \Big\{ \eta \in BV(\Omega) : \ \xi_1(x) \le \eta(x) \le \xi_2(x) \ \text{ a.e. in } \ \Omega \Big\}. \tag{1.4}$$

Here the regional fractional and fractional operators are given for $x \in \Omega$ by:

$$\mathcal{L}^s_{\Omega,p}(\kappa, u)(x) = C_{N,p,s}\text{P.V.} \int_\Omega \kappa(x-y)|u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}\frac{u(x)-u(y)}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}\, dy, \tag{1.5}$$

and for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ by

$$(-\Delta)^s_p(\kappa, u(x)) := C_{N,p,s}\text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \kappa(x-y)|u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}\frac{u(x)-u(y)}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}\, dy, \tag{1.6}$$

respectively. Moreover, $\kappa : \mathbb{R}^N \to [0, \infty)$ is a measurable and even function, that is,

$$\kappa(x) = \kappa(-x), \ \ \forall\, x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.7}$$

In addition, f is a given force and ξ is the given data. The functions ξ_1 and ξ_2 in (1.4) are the **control bounds** and fulfill $0 < \alpha \le \xi_1(x) \le \xi_2(x)$, a.e. $x \in \Omega$, for some constant $\alpha > 0$. The precise regularity requirements for these quantities and the domain Ω will be discussed in Section 3. Notice that the **control** κ appears in the **coefficient** of the quasilinear operators $\mathcal{L}^s_{\Omega,p}$ and $(-\Delta)^s_p$. For (1.3), we let $0 < s < 1$. We restrict s to $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$ in the case (1.2), see Remark 3.2 for more details.

Let $a \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ and set

$$\Delta_{p,a} u := \operatorname{div}(a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u). \tag{1.8}$$

Most recently, in [6, 13] a similar optimal control problem as **OCP** with $\mathcal{L}^s_{\Omega,p}$ replaced by $\Delta_{p,a}$ and the control $a(x)$ has been considered.

Even though **OCP** with the equation (1.2) is a natural extension of [6, 13], however, the nonlocality of $\mathcal{L}^s_{\Omega,p}$ in comparison to the local operator $\Delta_{p,a}$ makes **OCP** challenging. Indeed the papers [11, 27], where the authors considered $\kappa = 1$, realized that the standard techniques available for the local p-Laplace equation with the operator $\Delta_{p,a}$ are not directly applicable to the regional fractional p-Laplace equation (1.2). For the **OCP** the additional complication occurs due to the fact that the operator $\mathcal{L}^s_{\Omega,p}$ may degenerate, see subsection 2.3 for details. We also refer to [6, 13] for a discussion related to this topic in case of $\Delta_{p,a}$. Similar complications can occur when the state constraints in **OCP** are (1.3).

The problem to search for coefficients in case of linear elliptic problems is classical, we refer (but not limited) to [17, 18, 19, 21] and their references. However, this is the first work which provides a mechanism to search for the coefficients in case of a quasilinear, possibly degenerate and fractional nonlocal problem. From a numerical point of view an added attraction of our theory is the fact that it is Hilbert space L^2-based instead of L^p-based theory.

Subsequently, to tackle this degeneracy in the operators $\mathcal{L}^s_{\Omega,p}$ (and similarly to $(-\Delta)^s_p$), we introduce a **regularized optimal control problem (ROCP)** and we conclude with the convergence of solution of the regularized problem. Notice that in this paper we discuss the convergence of the optimal controls. Due to the possible degeneracy in the state equation it is unclear how to derive the first order stationarity system for **OCP**. However, **ROCP** comes to rescue, indeed the latter is build to precisely avoid such degeneracy issues. In a forthcoming paper, we shall derive the limiting stationarity system corresponding to the first order stationarity for **ROCP**.

Differential equations of fractional order have gained a lot of attraction in recent years due to the fact that several phenomena in the sciences are more accurately modelled by such equations rather than the traditional equations of integer order. Linear and nonlinear equations have been extensively studied. The applications in industry are numerous and cover almost every area. From the long list of phenomena which are more appropriately modelled by fractional differential equations, we mention: viscoelasticity, anomalous transport and diffusion, hereditary phenomena with long memory, nonlocal electrostatics, the latter being relevant to drug design, and Lévy motions which appear in important models in both applied mathematics and applied probability, as well as in models in biology and ecology. We refer to [15, 20, 22] and their references for more details on this topic.

During the course of studying the **OCP**, we show the well-posedness (existence, uniqueness, and continuous dependence on data) of our state equation (1.2) and the regularized state equation (3.7). We further show several important results for the regularized state equation in subsection 5.3. Thus we not only address many challenging issues associated with the state equation (1.2) but also initiate several new research directions with many possible extensions.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In section 2 we introduce the function spaces needed to investigate our problem. We also provide a precise definition of the regional fractional p-Laplacian. The results in this section hold for any $0 < s < 1$. Hereafter, we assume that $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$. We state our main results for **OCP** with regional fractional p-Laplacian in section 3 which is followed by the introduction of the **ROCP** in subsection 3.2 and a statement of the convergence results. The well-posedness of the state system is discussed in section 4.1. Section 4.2 discusses the existence of solution to **OCP**. In section 5.1 we discuss well-posedness of the regularized state equation, which is followed by the existence of solution to **ROCP** in section 5.2. We show the convergence of **ROCP** solutions to **OCP** solutions in section 6. We conclude by studying **OCP** with fractional equation (1.3) in section 7.

2 Notation and Preliminaries

Here we introduce the function spaces needed to investigate our problem and also prove some intermediate results that will be used throughout the paper. The results stated in this section are valid for any $0 < s < 1$.

2.1 The fractional order Sobolev spaces

In this (sub)section, we recall some well-known results on fractional order Sobolev spaces that are needed throughout the article.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an arbitrary bounded open set. For $p \in [1, \infty)$ and $s \in (0, 1)$, we denote by

$$W^{s,p}(\Omega) := \left\{ u \in L^p(\Omega) : \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} dxdy < \infty \right\},$$

the fractional order Sobolev space endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)} := \left(\int_\Omega |u|^p \, dx + \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We let $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) := \overline{\mathcal{D}(\Omega)}^{W^{s,p}(\Omega)}$. The following result is taken from [12, Theorem 1.4.2.4, p.25] (see also [4, 25]).

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded open set with a Lipschitz continuous boundary. Then the following assertions hold.*

(a) If $0 < s \leq \frac{1}{p}$, then $W^{s,p}(\Omega) = W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$.

(b) If $\frac{1}{p} < s < 1$, then $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ is a proper closed subspace of $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$.

It follows from Theorem 2.1 that for a bounded open set with a Lipschitz continuous boundary, if $\frac{1}{p} < s < 1$, then

$$\|u\|_{W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)} = \left(\int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{2.1}$$

defines an equivalent norm on $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$. Let $p^\star$ be given by

$$p^\star = \frac{Np}{N - sp} \;\text{ if }\; N > sp \;\text{ and }\; p^\star \in [p, \infty) \;\text{ if }\; N = sp. \tag{2.2}$$

Then by [9, Theorems 6.7 and 6.10], there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $u \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$,

$$\|u\|_{L^q(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)}, \;\; \forall \, q \in [1, p^\star]. \tag{2.3}$$

Moreover, the continuous embedding $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$ is compact for every $q \in [1, p^\star)$ (see e.g. [9, Corollary 7.2]). If $N < sp$, then one has the continuous embedding $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow C^{0, s - \frac{N}{p}}(\overline{\Omega})$ (see e.g. [9, Theorem 8.2]).

We have the following.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an arbitrary bounded open set and $p \in [1, \infty)$. Then the following assertions hold.*

(a) If $0 < t \leq s < 1$, then $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W_0^{t,p}(\Omega)$.

(b) For every $0 < s < 1$, we have that $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$.

(c) Let $q > p$. If $0 < t < s < 1$, then $W_0^{s,q}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W_0^{t,p}(\Omega)$.

Proof. The proof of the assertions (a), (b) and (c) is contained in [9, Proposition 2.1], [25, Proposition 2.3] and in [2, Proposition 1.2], respectively. □

If $0 < s < 1$, $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $p' := \frac{p}{p-1}$, then the space $W^{-s,p'}(\Omega)$ is defined as usual to be the dual of the reflexive Banach space $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$. For $u \in W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ we shall denote by $U_{(p,s)}$ the function defined on $\Omega \times \Omega$ by

$$U_{(p,s)}(x,y) := \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x-y|^{\frac{N}{p}+s}}. \tag{2.4}$$

We will always denote by χ_E the characteristic function of a set $E \subseteq \Omega \times \Omega$.

Remark 2.3. Let $u \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ and $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ a sequence in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$. Then the following assertions hold.

(a) If u_n converges weakly to u in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ as $n \to \infty$ (that is, $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ as $n \to \infty$), then for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dxdy \\ &= \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dxdy.\end{aligned}$$

(b) If $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$, then $U_{n,(p,s)} \rightharpoonup U_{(p,s)}$ in $L^p(\Omega \times \Omega)$ as $n \to \infty$.

(c) If $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ and $U_{n,(p,s)} \to U_{(p,s)}$ in $L^p(\Omega \times \Omega)$ as $n \to \infty$, then $u_n \to u$ in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$.

For more information on fractional order Sobolev spaces we refer the reader to [4, 9, 12, 25] and the references therein.

2.2 Functions of bounded variation

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be an arbitrary bounded open set. Let

$$BV(\Omega) := \Big\{ g \in L^1(\Omega) :\ \|g\|_{BV(\Omega)} < \infty \Big\},$$

be the space of functions of bounded variation, where

$$\|g\|_{BV(\Omega)} := \|g\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \sup \left\{ \int_\Omega g \operatorname{div}(\Phi)\, dx :\ \Phi \in C_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N),\ |\Phi(x)| \le 1,\ x \in \Omega \right\}.$$

For $g \in BV(\Omega)$, we denote by ∇g the distributional gradient of g. We notice that ∇g belongs to the space of Radon measures $\mathcal{M}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$.

The following notion of convergence is contained in [1, Definition 3.1].

Remark 2.4. Let $g \in BV(\Omega)$ and $\{g_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ a sequence in $BV(\Omega)$.

(a) We say that $\{g_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly* ($\overset{*}{\rightharpoonup}$) to $g \in BV(\Omega)$ as $n \to \infty$, if and only if the following two conditions hold.

(i) $g_n \to g$ (strongly) in $L^1(\Omega)$ as $n \to \infty$, and

(ii) $\nabla g_n \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \nabla g$ (weakly*) in $\mathcal{M}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \to \infty$, that is,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega \phi \, d\nabla g_n = \int_\Omega \phi \, d\nabla g, \ \ \forall \ \phi \in C_0(\Omega).$$

(b) In addition, if g_n converges strongly to some $\tilde{g}$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ as $n \to \infty$ and satisfies $\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \int_\Omega |\nabla g_n| < \infty$, then

$$\tilde{g} \in BV(\Omega), \ \ \int_\Omega |\nabla \tilde{g}| \le \liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega |\nabla g_n| \ \text{ and } \ g_n \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \tilde{g} \ \text{ in } \ BV(\Omega) \ \text{ as } \ n \to \infty.$$

For more details on functions of bounded variation we refer to [1, Chapter 3].

2.3 The regional fractional p-Laplacian

Let $0 < s < 1$ and $p \in (1, \infty)$. The **regional fractional p-Laplacian** $(-\Delta)^s_{\Omega,p}$ is defined for $x \in \Omega$ by the formula

$$(-\Delta)^s_{\Omega,p} u(x) = C_{N,p,s} \text{P.V.} \int_\Omega |u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} dy,$$

where $C_{N,p,s}$ is a normalized constant (see, e.g., [3, 5, 9, 24] for the linear case $p = 2$, and [26, 27, 28] for the general case $p \in (1, \infty)$). We notice that if $0 < s < \frac{p-1}{p}$ and u is smooth (i.e., at least bounded and Lipschitz continuous on Ω), then the above integral is in fact not really singular near x (see e.g. [2, Section 2.1] for more details). If $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^N$, then $(-\Delta)^s_{\mathbb{R}^N,p} = (-\Delta)^s_p$ is usually called the **fractional p-Laplace operator**, see section 7 for more details.

It has been shown in [2, Formula (2.4)] that for every $u \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$,

$$\lim_{s\uparrow 1} \int_\Omega u(-\Delta)^s_{\Omega,p} u \, dx = \lim_{s\uparrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(-\Delta)^s_p u \, dx = \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p \, dx = -\int_\Omega u \Delta_p u \, dx. \tag{2.5}$$

It follows from (2.5) that the regional fractional p-Laplace operator converges (in some sense) to the p-Laplace operator, as $s \uparrow 1$.

Let κ be as in (1.7). For $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < s < 1$ we define the operator $\mathcal{L}^s_{\Omega,p}$ as in (1.5). We again call this operator, the **regional fractional p-Laplace operator**. We mention that elliptic problems associated with the operator $\mathcal{L}^s_{\Omega,p}(\kappa, \cdot)$ subject to the Dirichlet boundary condition have been investigated in [7, 8, 11, 14] where the authors have obtained some fundamental existence and regularity results. The case of Neumann and Robin type boundary conditions (with $\kappa = 1$) is contained in [28]. We refer to [11, 27] for further results on parabolic problems.

3 The main results

In this section we state the main results of the article. Throughout the remainder of the article, unless stated otherwise, we assume the following.

Assumption 3.1. *We shall always assume the following.*

(a) $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ $(N \ge 1)$ is a bounded open set with Lipschitz continuous boundary.

(b) $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$.

(c) The functions $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ *and there exists a constant* $\alpha > 0$ *such that*

$$0 < \alpha \le \xi_1(x) \le \xi_2(x) \quad a.e.\ in\ \ \Omega. \tag{3.1}$$

(d) The measurable function κ *satisfies the assumption given in* (1.7).

Recall that it follows from Assumption 3.1(b) that (2.1) defines an equivalent norm on $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ for every $p \in [2, \infty)$.

Remark 3.2 (Regional case: $0 < s < 1$)**.** The restriction on s in Assumption 3.1(b) is used to show the uniqueness of solution to (1.2). This step requires the equivalence between $\|v\|_{W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)}$ and $\Big(\|v\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} + \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{|v(x)-v(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}} dxdy\Big)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. The equivalence follows immediately when $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$. When $0 < s \le \frac{1}{2}$ the proof will be along the line of [16, Corollary 1.5.2 p.37] (they only discuss $s = 1$) but we do not explore this here.

3.1 The optimal control problem

Let $\xi, f \in L^2(\Omega)$ be given functions. The **OCP** we consider first is (1.1), (1.2) and (1.4). The following is our notion of solutions to the state system (1.2).

Definition 3.3. *A function* $u \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ *is said to be a weak solution of the system* (1.2) *if for every* $\varphi \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{C_{N,p,s}}{2} \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \kappa(x-y)|u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2} \frac{(u(x)-u(y))(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}\, dxdy \\ &+ \int_\Omega u\varphi\, dx = \int_\Omega f\varphi\, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

The following existence result of optimal pair to the **OCP** is our first main result.

Theorem 3.4. *Let* $\xi, f \in L^2(\Omega)$ *be given. Then the* **OCP** (1.1), (1.2) *and* (1.4) *admits at least one solution* $(\kappa, u) \in BV(\Omega) \times W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$.

3.2 The regularized optimal control problem

Let $\xi, f \in L^2(\Omega)$ be given functions and $p \in [2, \infty)$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{F}_n : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ be a function in $C^1([0, \infty))$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{F}_n(\tau) = \tau & \text{if } \ 0 \le \tau \le n^2, \\ \mathcal{F}_n(\tau) = n^2 + 1 & \text{if } \ \tau > n^2 + 1, \\ \tau \le \mathcal{F}_n(\tau) \le \tau + \delta & \text{if } \ n^2 \le \tau < n^2 + 1 \ \text{ for some } \ \delta \in (0, 1). \end{cases} \tag{3.3}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a small parameter. The operator $\Delta_{p,a}$ defined in (1.8) is degenerate if $p > 2$. To overcome the degeneracy, an (ε, p)-regularization $\Delta_{\varepsilon,n,p,a}$ of $\Delta_{p,a}$ has been introduced (see e.g. [6]) as follows:

$$\Delta_{\varepsilon,n,p,a} u = \operatorname{div}\Big(a(x)(\varepsilon + \mathcal{F}_n(|\nabla u|^2))^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u\Big),$$

where $\mathcal{F}_n$ is the function defined in (3.3). Using the classical definition of degenerate elliptic operators, one cannot immediately say that $(-\Delta)^s_{\Omega,p}$ or $\mathcal{L}^s_{\Omega,p}(\kappa,\cdot)$ is degenerate for $p>2$. We refer to [23] for a discussion on this topic.

But inspired by the convergence given in (2.5), we let

$$\mathcal{L}^s_{\Omega,p,\varepsilon,n}(\kappa,u)(x) := \\ C_{N,p,s}\text{P.V.}\int_\Omega \kappa(x-y)\left[\varepsilon+\mathcal{F}_n\left(\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{2s}}\right)\right]^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\frac{u(x)-u(y)}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dy. \tag{3.4}$$

We call $\mathcal{L}^s_{\Omega,p,\varepsilon,n}$ an (ε,p)**-regularization** of $\mathcal{L}^s_{\Omega,p}$.

Now we consider our so called regularized optimal control problem **(ROCP)**:

$$\text{Minimize}\left\{\mathbb{I}(\kappa,u):=\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega|u-\xi|^2\,dx+\int_\Omega|\nabla\kappa|\right\} \tag{3.5}$$

subject to the constraints

$$\kappa\in\mathfrak{A}_{ad}=\Big\{\eta\in BV(\Omega):\ \xi_1(x)\le\eta(x)\le\xi_2(x)\ \text{ a.e. in }\Omega\Big\}, \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$\begin{cases}\mathcal{L}^s_{\Omega,p,\varepsilon,n}(\kappa,u)+u=f & \text{in }\ \Omega,\\ u=0 & \text{on }\ \partial\Omega.\end{cases} \tag{3.7}$$

The following is our notion of weak solution to the system (3.7).

Definition 3.5. *Let $n\in\mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon>0$, $\kappa\in\mathfrak{A}_{ad}$ and $f\in L^2(\Omega)$. A function $u\in W^{s,2}_0(\Omega)$ is said to be a weak solution to the system* (3.7) *if the equality*

$$\mathbb{F}^\kappa_{\varepsilon,n,p}(u,v)=\int_\Omega fv\,dx \tag{3.8}$$

holds for every $v\in W^{s,2}_0(\Omega)$, where we have set

$$\mathbb{F}^\kappa_{\varepsilon,n,p}(u,v):=\int_\Omega uv\,dx \\ +\frac{C_{N,p,s}}{2}\int_\Omega\int_\Omega\kappa(x-y)\Big[\varepsilon+\mathcal{G}_n(u,s)\Big]^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\frac{(u(x)-u(y))(v(x)-v(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy, \tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_n(u,s):=\mathcal{F}_n\left(\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{2s}}\right). \tag{3.10}$$

The following theorem is our second main result.

Theorem 3.6. *For every $\varepsilon>0$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}$, the* **ROCP** (3.5)-(3.7) *has at least one solution $(\kappa_{\varepsilon,n},u_{\varepsilon,n})\in BV(\Omega)\times W^{s,2}_0(\Omega)$.*

We conclude this section by stating the convergence of solutions of the **ROCP** to the solutions of the **OCP**.

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\frac{1}{2} < t \leq s < 1$ and $p \in [2, \infty)$ with $t = s$ if $p = 2$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\{(\kappa^\star_{\varepsilon,n}, u^\star_{\varepsilon,n})\}_{\varepsilon>0, n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset BV(\Omega) \times W^{s,2}_0(\Omega)$ be an arbitrary sequence of solutions to the* **ROCP** (3.5)-(3.7). *Then $\{(\kappa^\star_{\varepsilon,n}, u^\star_{\varepsilon,n})\}_{\varepsilon>0,n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $BV(\Omega)\times W^{t,2}_0(\Omega)$ and any cluster point $(\kappa_\star, u_\star)$ with respect to the* (weak*, weak) *topology of $BV(\Omega) \times W^{t,2}_0(\Omega)$ is a solution to the* **OCP** (1.1), (1.2) *and* (1.4). *In addition, if $\kappa^\star_{\varepsilon,n} \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \kappa_\star$ in $BV(\Omega)$ and $u^\star_{\varepsilon,n} \rightharpoonup u_\star$ in $W^{t,2}_0(\Omega)$, as $\varepsilon \to 0$ and $n \to \infty$* (that is, as $(\varepsilon, n) \to (0, \infty)$), *then the following assertions hold.*

$$\lim_{(\varepsilon,n)\to(0,\infty)} (\kappa^\star_{\varepsilon,n}, u^\star_{\varepsilon,n}) = (\kappa_\star, u_\star) \quad \text{stongly in} \quad L^1(\Omega) \times W^{t,2}_0(\Omega). \tag{3.11}$$

$$\lim_{(\varepsilon,n)\to(0,\infty)} \int_\Omega |\nabla \kappa^\star_{\varepsilon,n}| = \int_\Omega |\nabla \kappa_\star|. \tag{3.12}$$

$$\lim_{(\varepsilon,n)\to(0,\infty)} \chi_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)_n(u^\star_{\varepsilon,n})} U^\star_{\varepsilon,n,(p,s)} = U_{\star,(p,s)} \quad \text{stongly in} \quad L^p(\Omega\times\Omega). \tag{3.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{(\varepsilon,n)\to(0,\infty)} \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \kappa^\star_{\varepsilon,n}(x-y) \left[\varepsilon + \mathcal{G}_n\left(u^\star_{\varepsilon,n}, s\right)\right]^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \frac{|u^\star_{\varepsilon,n}(x) - u^\star_{\varepsilon,n}(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dy \\ = \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{|u_\star(x) - u_\star(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}\, dxdy.\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

$$\lim_{(\varepsilon,n)\to(0,\infty)} \mathbb{I}(\kappa^\star_{\varepsilon,n}, u^\star_{\varepsilon,n}) = \mathbb{I}(\kappa_\star, u_\star), \tag{3.15}$$

where we recall that $\mathcal{G}_n$ is given by (3.10).

4 Proof of Theorem 3.4

To prove the first main result we need some preparations and some intermediate important results.

4.1 The state equation is well-posed

Throughout the remainder of the paper for $u, \varphi \in W^{s,p}_0(\Omega)$, we shall let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}^\kappa_{p,s}(u,\varphi) &:= \int_\Omega u\varphi\, dx \\ &+ \frac{C_{N,p,s}}{2} \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \kappa(x-y)|u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2} \frac{(u(x)-u(y))(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}\, dxdy.\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

We have the following result of existence of weak solutions to the system (1.2).

Proposition 4.1 (**The well-posedness of the state equation**). *For every $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, the system* (1.2) *has a unique weak solution $u \in W^{s,p}_0(\Omega)$. In addition there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|u\|^{p-1}_{W^{s,p}_0(\Omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. The proposition follows by showing first that $\mathcal{E}^{\kappa}_{p,s}(u,\cdot) \in W^{-s,p'}(\Omega)$ for every fixed $u \in W^{s,p}_0(\Omega)$, and then that $\mathcal{E}^{\kappa}_{p,s}$ is strictly monotone, hemi-continuous and coercive. Finally (4.2) follows by taking $\varphi = u$ as a test function in (3.2). For more details we refer to [2, Proposition 2.3]. The proof is finished. □

Remark 4.2 (**The state equation and Minty relation).** As a consequence of the proof of Proposition 4.1, we have that $u \in W^{s,p}_0(\Omega)$ satisfies (3.2) if and only if the **Minty relation** holds. That is, for every $\varphi \in W^{s,p}_0(\Omega)$,

$$\mathcal{E}^{\kappa}_{p,s}(\varphi, \varphi - u) \geq \int_{\Omega} f(\varphi - u)\, dx. \tag{4.3}$$

For more details we refer to [2, Remark 2.5].

4.2 The optimal control problem (OCP)

Towards this end we introduce the set of admissible control-state pair for the **OCP** (1.1)-(1.2), namely,

$$\Xi := \Big\{(\kappa, u) :\ \kappa \in \mathfrak{A}_{ad},\ u \in W^{s,p}_0(\Omega),\ (\kappa, u) \text{ are related by (3.2)}\Big\}. \tag{4.4}$$

Using Proposition 4.1, we get that the set Ξ is nonempty. With the notation (4.4), we have that the **OCP** (1.1)-(1.2) can be rewritten as the following minimization problem:

$$\min_{(\kappa,u)\in\Xi} \mathbb{I}(\kappa, u). \tag{4.5}$$

Next, we endow the Banach space $BV(\Omega) \times W^{s,p}_0(\Omega)$ with the norm defined by

$$\|(\kappa, u)\|_{BV(\Omega)\times W^{s,p}_0(\Omega)} := \|\kappa\|_{BV(\Omega)} + \|u\|_{W^{s,p}_0(\Omega)}.$$

We have the following result.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\{(\kappa_n, u_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \Xi$ be such that $\kappa_n \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \kappa$ in $BV(\Omega)$ and $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W^{s,p}_0(\Omega)$, as $n \to \infty$. Then for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ we have that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\Omega}\int_{\Omega} \kappa_n(x-y) \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dxdy \\ &= \int_{\Omega}\int_{\Omega} \kappa(x-y) \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dxdy. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. First, since $\kappa_n \to \kappa$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ as $n \to \infty$ and $\{\kappa_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we have that

$$\kappa_n \to \kappa \ \text{ in } \ L^q(\Omega) \ \text{ as } \ n \to \infty, \text{ for every } \ 1 \leq q < \infty. \tag{4.7}$$

In addition we have that $\kappa \in \mathfrak{A}_{ad}$. Since $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W^{s,p}_0(\Omega)$ as $n \to \infty$, it follows from Remark 2.3 that for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\Omega}\int_{\Omega} \frac{(u_n(x) - u_n(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dxdy \\ &= \int_{\Omega}\int_{\Omega} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dxdy. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and define the functions $F^{\varphi}_{n,p}, F^{\varphi}_{p} : \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$F^{\varphi}_{n,p}(x,y) := \frac{(u_n(x)-u_n(y))(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{\frac{N}{p}+s+1}}$$

and

$$F^{\varphi}_{p}(x,y) := \frac{(u(x)-u(y))(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{\frac{N}{p}+s+1}}.$$

Then

$$\int_\Omega\int_\Omega |F^{\varphi}_{n,p}(x,y)|^p \, dxdy \leq \|\varphi\|^p_{C^{0,1}(\overline{\Omega})}\|u_n\|^p_{W^{s,p}_0(\Omega)} < \infty. \tag{4.8}$$

Similarly, we get that $F^{\varphi}_{n,p}, F^{\varphi}_{p} \in L^p(\Omega\times\Omega)$. Since $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $W^{s,p}_0(\Omega)$, it follows from (4.8) that $\{F^{\varphi}_{n,p}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^p(\Omega\times\Omega)$. Thus, after a (sub)sequence if necessary, $F^{\varphi}_{n,p}$ converges weakly to some function F in $L^p(\Omega\times\Omega)$, as $n\to\infty$. Since u_n converges a.e. to u in Ω as $n\to\infty$, it follows that $F^{\varphi}_{n,p}$ converges a.e. to F^{φ}_{p} in $\Omega\times\Omega$, as $n\to\infty$. By the uniqueness of the limit we have that $F^{\varphi}_{p} = F$. We have shown that $F^{\varphi}_{n,p} \rightharpoonup F^{\varphi}_{p}$ in $L^p(\Omega\times\Omega)$ as $n\to\infty$. Let $K_{n,p'}, K_{p'} : \Omega\times\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$ be the functions given by

$$K_{n,p'}(x,y) := \frac{\kappa_n(x-y)}{|x-y|^{\frac{N}{p'}+s-1}} \text{ and } K_{p'}(x,y) := \frac{\kappa(x-y)}{|x-y|^{\frac{N}{p'}+s-1}}.$$

Let $x\in\Omega$ be fixed. Let $B(x,R)$ be a large ball with center x and radius R such that $\Omega\subset B(x,R)$. Since $\kappa_n \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, then using polar coordinates, we have that there exists a constant $C>0$ (depending on Ω, N, s and p) such that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega\int_\Omega |K_{n,p'}(x,y)|^{p'} \, dxdy \leq& \|\kappa_n\|^{p'}_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \int_\Omega\int_\Omega \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+p'(s-1)}}\, dxdy \\ \leq& C\|\kappa_n\|^{p'}_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \int_0^R r^{p'(1-s)-1}\, dr \leq C\|\xi_2\|^{p'}_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

Thus $K_{n,p'} \in L^{p'}(\Omega\times\Omega)$. Similarly, we get that $K_{p'} \in L^{p'}(\Omega\times\Omega)$. Using (4.7) and the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we get that $K_{n,p'} \to K_{p'}$ in $L^{p'}(\Omega\times\Omega)$ as $n\to\infty$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_\Omega\int_\Omega K_{n,p'}(x,y)F^{\varphi}_{n,p}(x,y)\, dxdy = \int_\Omega\int_\Omega K_{p'}(x,y)F^{\varphi}_{p}(x,y)\, dxdy \\ =& \int_\Omega\int_\Omega \kappa(x-y)\frac{(u(x)-u(y))(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dxdy,\end{aligned}$$

for every $\varphi\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$. We have shown (4.6) and the proof is finished. □

Using Lemma 4.3 we can prove the following theorem which will play an important role in the proof of our first main result.

Theorem 4.4. *Let $\{(\kappa_n,u_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \Xi$ be a bounded sequence. Then there exists $(\kappa,u)\in\Xi$ such that, after a* (sub)*sequence if necessary, $\kappa_n \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \kappa$ in $BV(\Omega)$, $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ in $W^{s,p}_0(\Omega)$ and $u_n \to u$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, as $n\to\infty$.*

Proof. First, since $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ and the continuous embedding $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is compact, then after a (sub)sequence if necessary, there exists a $u \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$u_n \rightharpoonup u \ \text{ in } \ W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \ \text{ and } \ u_n \to u \ \text{ in } \ L^2(\Omega), \ \text{ as } \ n \to \infty.$$

Next, since $\{\kappa_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $BV(\Omega)$, it follows from [1, Corollary 3.39] that after a (sub)sequence if necessary, there exists a $\kappa \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that $\kappa_n \to \kappa$ in $L^1(\Omega)$. Since $\kappa_n \to \kappa$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ as $n \to \infty$ and $\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \int_\Omega |\nabla \kappa_n| < \infty$ (this follows from the fact that $\{\kappa_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $BV(\Omega)$), then by Remark 2.4(b), this implies that $\kappa \in BV(\Omega)$ and $\kappa_n \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \kappa$ in $BV(\Omega)$ as $n \to \infty$. We have shown that, as $n \to \infty$,

$$\kappa_n \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \kappa \ \text{ in } \ BV(\Omega), \ u_n \rightharpoonup u \ \text{ in } \ W_0^{s,p}(\Omega) \ \text{ and } \ u_n \to u \ \text{ in } \ L^2(\Omega). \tag{4.9}$$

It remains to show that $(\kappa, u) \in \Xi$. Since $\alpha \le \xi_1(x) \le \kappa_n(x) \le \xi_2(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $\kappa \in \mathfrak{A}_{ad}$. It also follows from Lemma 4.3 that

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \kappa_n(x-y) \frac{(u_n(x)-u_n(y))(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dxdy \\ &= \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \kappa(x-y) \frac{(u(x)-u(y))(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dxdy, \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$. Let $\Phi : \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by $\Phi(x,y) := \dfrac{|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)|^{p-2}}{|x-y|^{s(p-2)}}$. Note that for a.e. $x, y \in \Omega$, we have that $|\Phi(x,y)| \le \|\varphi\|^{p-2}_{C^{0,s}(\overline{\Omega})}$. Since $\Phi \in L^\infty(\Omega \times \Omega)$, if we multiply the functions under the integrals in both sides of (4.10) by Φ, then we have the same convergence. This implies that for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \kappa_n(x-y) \frac{|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)|^{p-2}}{|x-y|^{s(p-2)}} \frac{(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))(u_n(x)-u_n(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dxdy \\ &= \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \kappa(x-y) \frac{|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)|^{p-2}}{|x-y|^{s(p-2)}} \frac{(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))(u(x)-u(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dxdy \\ &= \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \kappa(x-y) |\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)|^{p-2} \frac{(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))(u(x)-u(y))}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}\, dxdy. \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

We show that (κ, u) is related by (4.3). Since (κ_n, u_n) satisfies (4.3) we have that

$$\mathcal{E}_{p,s}^{\kappa_n}(\varphi, \varphi - u_n) \ge \int_\Omega f(\varphi - u_n)\, dx, \tag{4.12}$$

for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$, where we recall that $\mathcal{E}_{p,s}^{\kappa_n}(\varphi, \varphi - u_n) = \mathcal{E}_{p,s}^{\kappa_n}(\varphi, \varphi) - \mathcal{E}_{p,s}^{\kappa_n}(\varphi, u_n)$. It follows from (4.9) that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega f(\varphi - u_n)\, dx = \int_\Omega f\varphi\, dx - \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega f u_n\, dx = \int_\Omega f(\varphi - u)\, dx. \tag{4.13}$$

Using (4.11) and (4.13) we can pass to the limit in (4.12) as $n \to \infty$ and obtain that (κ, u) is related by (4.3) for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$. Finally, since $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is dense in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$, we have that (4.3) also holds for every $\varphi \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$. Hence, $u \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution of (1.2). This, together with $\kappa \in \mathfrak{A}_{ad}$ imply $(\kappa, u) \in \Xi$. ∎

Now we are able to give the proof of our first main result.

Proof of Theorem 3.4. Since the set Ξ is nonempty and the cost functional is bounded from below on Ξ, it follows that there exists a minimizing sequence $(\kappa_n, u_n) \in \Xi$ to the problem (4.5), that is,

$$\inf_{(\kappa,u)\in\Xi} \mathbb{I}(\kappa, u) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \left[\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |u_n - \xi|^2 \, dx + \int_\Omega |\nabla \kappa_n|\right] < \infty.$$

This implies that $\{(\kappa_n, u_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $BV(\Omega) \times W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$. It follows from Theorem 4.4 that after a (sub)sequence if necessary, there exists $(\kappa_\star, u_\star) \in \Xi$ such that $\kappa_n \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \kappa_\star$ in $BV(\Omega)$, $u_n \rightharpoonup u_\star$ in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ and $u_n \to u_\star$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, as $n \to \infty$. Therefore using also Remark 2.4, we get that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |u_n - \xi|^2 \, dx = \frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega |u_\star - \xi|^2 \, dx \quad \text{and} \quad \int_\Omega |\nabla \kappa_\star| \le \liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega |\nabla \kappa_n|.$$

We have shown that $\mathbb{I}(\kappa_\star, u_\star) \le \inf_{(\kappa,u)\in\Xi} \mathbb{I}(\kappa, u)$. Thus $(\kappa_\star, u_\star)$ is a solution to (4.5) and hence, a solution to our initial **OCP** (1.1)-(1.2). The proof is finished. □

5 Proof of Theorem 3.6

Here also in order to be able to prove our theorem we need some preparation. For $\phi \in W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)$, $p \in [2, \infty)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ a small parameter, we shall use the following notation:

$$\|\phi\|_{\varepsilon,n,\kappa,s,p} := \left(\int_\Omega \int_\Omega \kappa(x-y)\Big[\varepsilon + \mathcal{G}_n(\phi, s)\Big]^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \frac{|\phi(x) - \phi(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \, dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{5.1}$$

where we recall that $\mathcal{G}_n(\phi, s) := \mathcal{F}_n\left(\frac{|\phi(x)-\phi(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{2s}}\right)$. We notice that $\|\cdot\|_{\varepsilon,n,\kappa,s,p}$ is a quasi-norm but is not a norm unless $p = 2$.

Let $\omega : \Omega \times \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be the function and μ the measure on $\Omega \times \Omega$ given by

$$\omega(x, y) := \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s-2}} \quad \text{and} \quad d\mu(x, y) := \omega(x, y)dxdy. \tag{5.2}$$

Let $x \in \Omega$ fixed and $R > 0$ such that $\Omega \subset B(x, R)$. Using polar coordinates we get that there exists a constant $C > 0$ (depending only on N and s) such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(\Omega \times \Omega) &:= \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \omega(x, y)dxdy = \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s-2}} \, dxdy \\ &\le \int_\Omega dx \int_{B(x,R)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s-2}} \, dy \le C|\Omega| \int_0^R \frac{1}{r^{2s-1}} \, dr = \frac{C|\Omega|}{2(1-s)} R^{2(1-s)} < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

For $u \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$ or $u \in W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)$ fixed and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the level set

$$(\Omega \times \Omega)_n(u) := \left\{(x, y) \in \Omega \times \Omega : \ \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s} > \sqrt{n^2 + 1}\right\}.$$

We have the following result.

Lemma 5.1. *The following assertions hold.*

(a) There exists a constant $C>0$ such that for $u\in W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)$,

$$\Big|(\Omega\times\Omega)_n(u)\Big|\leq\frac{C\alpha^{-1}}{n^p}\|u\|^p_{\varepsilon,n,\kappa,s,p}. \tag{5.3}$$

(b) There exists a constant $C>0$ such that for $u\in W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)$,

$$\mu\Big((\Omega\times\Omega)_n(u)\Big)\leq\frac{C\alpha^{-1}}{n^p}\|u\|^p_{\varepsilon,n,\kappa,s,p}. \tag{5.4}$$

Proof. Let $u\in W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)$ and $p\in[2,\infty)$.

(a) Using the Hölder inequality and (3.3) we get that there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|(\Omega\times\Omega)_n(u)\Big|=&\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)_n(u)}1\,dxdy\leq\frac{1}{\sqrt{n^2+1}}\int_\Omega\int_\Omega|x-y|^{\frac{N}{2}}\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|}{|x-y|^{\frac{N}{2}+s}}\,dxdy\\
\leq&\frac{C}{n}\Big|(\Omega\times\Omega)_n(u)\Big|^{\frac12}\left(\int_\Omega\int_\Omega\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy\right)^{\frac12}\\
\leq&\frac{C}{n}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon+n^2+1}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{4}}\Big|(\Omega\times\Omega)_n(u)\Big|^{\frac12}\alpha^{-\frac12}\\
&\times\left(\int_\Omega\int_\Omega\kappa(x-y)\Big[\varepsilon+\mathcal{G}_n(u,s)\Big]^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy\right)^{\frac12}\\
\leq&\frac{C}{n^{\frac{p}{2}}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\Big|(\Omega\times\Omega)_n(u)\Big|^{\frac12}\|u\|^{\frac{p}{2}}_{\varepsilon,n,\kappa,s,p}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

We have shown (5.3).

(b) Let ω be the weighted function and μ the measure given in (5.2). Proceeding as in (5.5) we get that there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\mu\Big((\Omega\times\Omega)_n(u)\Big)=&\frac{1}{\sqrt{n^2+1}}\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)_n(u)}\frac{\sqrt{n^2+1}}{|x-y|^{N+2s-2}}\,dxdy\\
\leq&\frac{C}{n}\mu\Big((\Omega\times\Omega)_n(u)\Big)^{\frac12}\left(\int_\Omega\int_\Omega\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy\right)^{\frac12}\\
\leq&\frac{C}{n}\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon+n^2+1}\right)^{\frac{p-2}{4}}\mu\Big((\Omega\times\Omega)_n(u)\Big)^{\frac12}\alpha^{-\frac12}\\
&\times\left(\int_\Omega\int_\Omega\kappa(x-y)\Big[\varepsilon+\mathcal{G}_n(u,s)\Big]^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy\right)^{\frac12}\\
\leq&\frac{C}{n^{\frac{p}{2}}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}\mu\Big((\Omega\times\Omega)_n(u)\Big)^{\frac12}\|u\|^{\frac{p}{2}}_{\varepsilon,n,\kappa,s,p}.\end{aligned}$$

We have shown (5.4) and the proof is finished. □

5.1 Well-posedness of the regularized problem

Next, we show the existence of solution to the regularized state equation (3.7).

Proposition 5.2. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\kappa \in \mathfrak{A}_{ad}$ and $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, the system* (3.7) *has a unique weak solution $u_{\varepsilon,n} \in W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)$. In addition, there exists a constant $C > 0$* (depending only on Ω and s) *such that*

$$\varepsilon^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \|u_{\varepsilon,n}\|_{W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \tag{5.6}$$

Proof. Here also, the proposition follows by showing that $\mathbb{F}^{\kappa}_{\varepsilon,n,p}(u,\cdot) \in W^{-s,2}(\Omega)$ for every fixed $u \in W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)$, and that $\mathbb{F}^{\kappa}_{\varepsilon,n,p}$ is hemi-continuous, strictly monotone and coercive. The estimates (5.6) follows by taking $v = u_{\varepsilon,n}$ as a test function in (3.8). For more details we refer to [2, Proposition 2.7]. The proof is finished. □

Remark 5.3 (**The regularized state equation and Minty relation).** As in Remark 4.2 we have that $u_{\varepsilon,n} \in W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)$ satisfies (3.8) if and only if the following **Minty relation** holds, that is, for every $\varphi \in W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)$,

$$\mathbb{F}^{\kappa}_{\varepsilon,n,p}(\varphi, \varphi - u_{\varepsilon,n}) \geq \int_\Omega f(\varphi - u_{\varepsilon,n})\, dx. \tag{5.7}$$

For more details see [2, Remark 2.9].

5.2 The regularized optimal control problem (ROCP)

We begin by introducing the set of admissible controls for the **ROCP** (3.5)-(3.6). That is,

$$\Xi_{\varepsilon,n} = \Big\{(\kappa, u) :\ \kappa \in \mathfrak{A}_{ad},\ u \in W_0^{s,2}(\Omega),\ (\kappa,u) \text{ are related by } (3.8)\Big\}. \tag{5.8}$$

It follows from Remark 5.3 that the set $\Xi_{\varepsilon,n}$ is nonempty for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore the **ROCP** (3.5)-(3.6) can be rewritten as the minimization problem:

$$\min_{(\kappa,u)\in\Xi_{\varepsilon,n}} \mathbb{I}(\kappa, u). \tag{5.9}$$

Now we are ready to give the proof of our second main result.

Proof of Theorem 3.6. Since the set $\Xi_{\varepsilon,n}$ given in (5.8) is nonempty, then we can take a minimizing sequence $\{(\kappa_k, u_k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \Xi_{\varepsilon,n}$. As $\{\kappa_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $0 < \alpha \leq \kappa_k(x) \leq \xi_2(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that there exists a constant $C > 0$ (independent of k) such that

$$\|\kappa_k\|_{BV(\Omega)} \leq \|\xi_2\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + C.$$

Using the lower boundedness of $\mathbb{I}$, the above estimate and (5.6), we have that there exists a constant $C > 0$ (independent of k) such that

$$\|\kappa_k\|_{BV(\Omega)} + \|u_k\|_{W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)} \leq C\left(\|\xi_2\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + 1 + \varepsilon^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\right).$$

Thus $\{(\kappa_k, u_k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $BV(\Omega)\times W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)$. Therefore, proceeding as the proof of Theorem 3.4 we deduce the existence of a (sub)sequence still denoted by $\{(\kappa_k, u_k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, and a pair $(\kappa, u) \in \Xi_{\varepsilon,n}$ such that $\kappa_k \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} \kappa$ in $BV(\Omega)$, $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)$ and $u_k \to u$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, as $k \to \infty$. Thus $\mathbb{I}(\kappa, u) \leq \liminf_{k\to\infty} \mathbb{I}(\kappa_k, u_k)$. The proof of the theorem is finished. □

5.3 Further a priori estimates for the regularized state

Next we give further a priori estimates of weak solutions to the system (3.7). These results will be useful to show the convergence of solutions to the **ROCP** in section 6.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $\kappa \in \mathfrak{A}_{ad}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $u \in W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)$,*

$$\left| \int_\Omega fu \, dx \right| \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \left[\alpha^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|u\|_{\varepsilon,n,\kappa,s,p} + \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|^{\frac{p}{2}}_{\varepsilon,n,\kappa,s,p} \right]. \tag{5.10}$$

Proof. We associate with $u \in W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)$ the level set $(\Omega \times \Omega)^n(u)$ given by

$$(\Omega \times \Omega)^n(u) := \left\{ (x,y) \in \Omega \times \Omega : \ \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{|x-y|^s} > n \right\}. \tag{5.11}$$

Let $\frac{1}{2} < t \leq s < 1$ and $p \in [2, \infty)$ with $t = s$ if $p = 2$. Then $W_0^{s,2}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow W_0^{t,2}(\Omega)$ (by Proposition 2.2) and hence, $u \in W_0^{t,2}(\Omega)$. Using (2.3) and (2.1), we get that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_\Omega fu \, dx \right| \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|u\|_{W_0^{t,2}(\Omega)} \\
\leq & C\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \left[\left(\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)^n(u)} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2t}} \, dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\
& \left. + \left(\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)^n(u)} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2t}} \, dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

Using the Hölder inequality we get that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)^n(u)} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2t}} \, dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq & \left(\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)^n(u)} \left(\frac{1}{|x-y|^{N-\frac{2N}{p}+2(t-s)}} \right)^{\frac{p}{p-2}} \, dxdy \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2p}} \\
& \times \left(\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)^n(u)} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}} \, dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
\leq & C \left(\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)^n(u)} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}} \, dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

It follows from (5.13) that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)^n(u)} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2t}}\, dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{5.14}$$
$$\leq C \left(\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)^n(u)} \left(\varepsilon + \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{2s}} \right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Since $\mathcal{F}_n\left(\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{2s}} \right) = \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{2s}}$ a.e. in $(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)^n(u)$, and $0 < \alpha \leq \kappa(x-y)$ for a.e. $x, y \in \Omega$, it follows from (5.14) that

$$\left(\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)^n(u)} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2t}}\, dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)^n(u)} \kappa(x-y) \Big[\varepsilon + \mathcal{G}_n(u,s) \Big]^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$
$$\leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{p}} \|u\|_{\varepsilon,n,\kappa,s,p}. \tag{5.15}$$

Since $n^2 \leq \mathcal{F}_n\left(\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{2s}} \right) \leq n^2+1$ a.e. in $(\Omega\times\Omega)^n(u)$, and $\frac{1}{2} < t \leq s < 1$, we have that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)^n(u)} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2t}}\, dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\leq C \left(\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)^n(u)} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)^n(u)} \kappa(x-y) \Big[\varepsilon + \mathcal{G}_n(u,s) \Big]^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
$$\leq C\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{\varepsilon,n,\kappa,s,p}^{\frac{p}{2}}. \tag{5.16}$$

Now (5.10) follows from (5.12), (5.15) and (5.16). The proof is finished. □

Proposition 5.5. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for every $\kappa \in \mathfrak{A}_{ad}$ and $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, the sequence of weak solution $\{u_{\varepsilon,n}\}_{\varepsilon>0,n\in\mathbb{N}}$ to the system (3.7) is bounded with respect to the $\|\cdot\|_{\varepsilon,n,\kappa,s,p}$-quasi norm, that is,*

$$\sup_{\varepsilon>0,n\in\mathbb{N}} \|u_{\varepsilon,n}\|_{\varepsilon,n,\kappa,s,p} < \infty. \tag{5.17}$$

Proof. Using (3.8) and (5.10) we get that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\|u_{\varepsilon,n}\|^p_{\varepsilon,n,\kappa,s,p} \leq \mathbb{F}^{\kappa}_{\varepsilon,n,p}(u_{\varepsilon,n}, u_{\varepsilon,n}) = \int_\Omega f u_{\varepsilon,n}\, dx\\ \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\Big[\alpha^{-\frac{1}{p}}\|u\|_{\varepsilon,n,\kappa,s,p} + \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|u\|^{\frac{p}{2}}_{\varepsilon,n,\kappa,s,p}\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{5.18}$$

Let $C_f := C\Big(\alpha^{-\frac{1}{p}} + \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}\Big)\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. It follows from (5.18) that

$$\|u_{\varepsilon,n}\|_{\varepsilon,n,\kappa,s,p} \leq \max\left\{C_f^{\frac{2}{p}}, C_f^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right\},\ \forall\, \varepsilon > 0, \forall\, n \in \mathbb{N},\ \forall\, \kappa \in \mathfrak{A}_{ad}. \tag{5.19}$$

Now (5.17) follows from (5.19) and the proof is finished. □

We also mention that using (5.10) and (5.19) we get that

$$\|u_{\varepsilon,n}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \max\left\{C^2\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, C^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\|f\|^{\frac{1}{p-1}}_{L^2(\Omega)}\right\},\ \forall\, \varepsilon > 0,\ \forall\, n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{5.20}$$

where C is the constant appearing in (5.10).

We conclude this section with the following remark.

Remark 5.6. Let $\kappa \in \mathfrak{A}_{ad}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and $u_{\varepsilon,n} \in W^{s,2}_0(\Omega)$ be the solution of (3.7). Let $(\Omega \times \Omega)^n(u_{\varepsilon,n})$ be given by (5.11). Let $p \in [2, \infty)$ and $\frac{1}{2} < t \leq s < 1$ with $t = s$ if $p = 2$. We notice that it follows from (5.15) and (5.16) that there exists a constant $C > 0$ (depending on Ω, N, s, t and p) such that

$$\|u_{\varepsilon,n}\|_{W^{t,2}_0(\Omega)} \leq C\Big(\alpha^{-\frac{1}{p}}\|u_{\varepsilon,n}\|_{\varepsilon,n,\kappa,s,p} + \alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|u_{\varepsilon,n}\|^{\frac{p}{2}}_{\varepsilon,n,\kappa,s,p}\Big). \tag{5.21}$$

We do not know if (5.21) holds with $W^{t,2}_0(\Omega)$ replaced by $W^{s,2}_0(\Omega)$ in the case $p > 2$.

6 Proof of Theorem 3.7

Before we give the proof of our last main result, i.e., Theorem 3.7 we need some intermediate results.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\frac{1}{2} < t \leq s < 1$ and $p \in [2, \infty)$ with $t = s$ if $p = 2$. Let $\{\kappa_{\varepsilon,n}\}_{\varepsilon>0,n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathfrak{A}_{ad}$ be an arbitrary sequence of admissible control associated with the states $\{u_{\varepsilon,n}\}_{\varepsilon>0,n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset W^{s,2}_0(\Omega)$. Then $\{u_{\varepsilon,n}\}_{\varepsilon>0,n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $W^{t,2}_0(\Omega)$. In addition, each cluster point u of $\{u_{\varepsilon,n}\}_{\varepsilon>0,n\in\mathbb{N}}$ with respect to the weak topology in $W^{t,2}_0(\Omega)$, belongs to $W^{s,p}_0(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Recall that the estimate (5.21) in Remark 5.6 holds. Now using (5.19) we get from (5.21) that $\{u_{\varepsilon,n}\}_{\varepsilon>0,n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $W^{t,2}_0(\Omega)$. Let $\{u_{\varepsilon_k,n_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a (sub)sequence and $u \in W^{t,2}_0(\Omega)$ be such that $u_{\varepsilon_k,n_k} \rightharpoonup u$ in $W^{t,2}_0(\Omega)$ and $u_{\varepsilon_k,n_k} \to u$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, as $k \to \infty$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed and set

$$\mathbb{B}_k := \bigcup_{j=k}^{\infty}(\Omega \times \Omega)_{n_j}(u_{\varepsilon_j,n_j}), \tag{6.1}$$

where we recall that

$$(\Omega\times\Omega)_{n_j}(u_{\varepsilon_j,n_j}) := \Big\{(x,y)\in\Omega\times\Omega:\ \frac{|u_{\varepsilon_j,n_j}(x)-u_{\varepsilon_j,n_j}(y)|}{|x-y|^s} > \sqrt{n_j^2+1}\Big\}. \tag{6.2}$$

Using (5.4) and (5.19) we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\Big|\mathbb{B}_k\Big| =&\alpha^{-1}\sum_{j=k}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n_j^p}\|u_{\varepsilon_j,n_j}\|^p_{\varepsilon_j,n_j,\kappa_{\varepsilon_j},s} \le \alpha^{-1}\sup_{j\in\mathbb{N}}\|u_{\varepsilon_j,n_j}\|^p_{\varepsilon_j,n_j,\kappa_{\varepsilon_j},s}\sum_{j=k}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n_j^p}\\ \le&\alpha^{-1}\max\left\{C_f^{\frac{2}{p}},C_f^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right\}\sum_{j=k}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n_j^p}<\infty.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\Big|\mathbb{B}_k\Big| = \Big|\limsup_{k\to\infty}\mathbb{B}_k\Big| = 0. \tag{6.3}$$

Using (5.19) again we get that for all $j\ge k$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus\mathbb{B}_k}\frac{|u_{\varepsilon_j,n_j}(x)-u_{\varepsilon_j,n_j}(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}\,dxdy\\ \le&\frac{1}{\alpha}\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus\mathbb{B}_k}\kappa_{\varepsilon_j,n_j}(x-y)\left[\varepsilon_j+\mathcal{G}_{n_j}\left(u_{\varepsilon_j,n_j},s\right)\right]^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\frac{|u_{\varepsilon_j,n_j}(x)-u_{\varepsilon_j,n_j}(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy\\ \le&\alpha^{-1}\max\left\{C_f^{\frac{2}{p}},C_f^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

Let $U_{\varepsilon_j,n_j,(p,s)}, U_{(p,s)}:\Omega\times\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$ be given as in (2.4). It follows from (6.4) that $\left\{U_{\varepsilon_j,n_j,(p,s)}\right\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^p((\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus\mathbb{B}_k)$. Since $u_{\varepsilon_j,n_j}\rightharpoonup u$ in $W_0^{t,2}(\Omega)$ as $j\to\infty$, then by Remark 2.3,

$$U_{\varepsilon_j,n_j,(2,t)}\rightharpoonup U_{(2,t)}\ \text{ in }\ L^2(\Omega\times\Omega)\ \text{ as }\ j\to\infty. \tag{6.5}$$

Let $\beta := \dfrac{N}{2}-\dfrac{N}{p}+t-s$. Since $U_{\varepsilon_j,n_j,(p,s)}(x,y) = |x-y|^\beta U_{\varepsilon_j,n_j,(2,t)}(x,y)$ and $U_{(p,s)}(x,y) = |x-y|^\beta U_{(2,t)}(x,y)$, it follows from (6.5) that

$$\chi_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus\mathbb{B}_k}U_{\varepsilon_j,n_j,(p,s)}\rightharpoonup U_{(p,s)}\ \text{ in }\ L^p(\Omega\times\Omega)\ \text{ as }\ j\to\infty.$$

Using (6.3) and (6.4) we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega\int_\Omega\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}\,dxdy =&\lim_{k\to\infty}\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus\mathbb{B}_k}\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}\,dxdy\\ \le&\lim_{k\to\infty}\liminf_{j\to\infty}\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus\mathbb{B}_k}\frac{|u_{\varepsilon_j,n_j}(x)-u_{\varepsilon_j,n_j}(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}\,dxdy\\ \le&\alpha^{-1}\max\left\{C_f^{\frac{2}{p}},C_f^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right\}<\infty.\end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

Since $u\in W_0^{t,2}(\Omega)$ and by assumption Ω has a Lipsichitz continuous boundary, then proceeding as in [16, Corollary 1.5.2 p.37], we can conclude from (6.6) that $u\in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$. The proof of the lemma is finished. □

Remark 6.2. We mention that we do not know if $\{u_{\varepsilon,n}\}_{\varepsilon>0,n\in\mathbb{N}}$ given in Lemma 6.1 is bounded in $W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)$ if $p>2$. We just know that it is bounded in $W_0^{t,2}(\Omega)$ for $\frac{1}{2}<t<s<1$. In fact, by (5.6), we have that for $\varepsilon>0$ fixed, $\{u_{\varepsilon,n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)$. But for $n\in\mathbb{N}$ fixed, we are not able to show that $\{u_{\varepsilon,n}\}_{\varepsilon>0}$ is bounded in $W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)$ if $p>2$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\frac{1}{2}<t\le s<1$ and $p\in[2,\infty)$ with $t=s$ if $p=2$. Let $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, $\{n_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\kappa_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathfrak{A}_{ad}$ be sequences such that*

$$\varepsilon_k\to 0,\ \ n_k\to\infty\ \ \text{and}\ \ \kappa_k\to\kappa\ \ \text{in}\ \ L^1(\Omega)\ \ \text{as}\ \ k\to\infty. \tag{6.7}$$

Let $u_k=u_{\varepsilon_k,n_k}(\kappa_k)$ and $u=u(\kappa)$ be the solutions of (3.7) and (1.2), respectively. Let $(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)$ be given in (6.2). Let $U_{k,(p,s)},U_{(p,s)}$ be given as in (2.4). Then the following assertions hold.

$$u_k\to u\ \ \text{in}\ \ W_0^{t,2}(\Omega)\ \ \text{as}\ \ k\to\infty. \tag{6.8}$$

$$\chi_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)}U_{k,(p,s)}\to U_{(p,s)}\ \ \text{in}\ \ L^p(\Omega\times\Omega)\ \ \text{as}\ \ k\to\infty. \tag{6.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega\int_\Omega\kappa_k(x-y)\Big[\varepsilon_k+\mathcal{G}_{n_k}(u_k,s)\Big]^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy\\&=\int_\Omega\int_\Omega\kappa(x-y)\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}\,dxdy,\end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

where we recall that $\mathcal{G}_{n_k}$ is given by (3.10).

Proof. We prove the lemma in several steps.

Step 1. It follows from Lemma 6.1 that after a (sub)sequence if necessary, there exists $u\in W_0^{t,2}(\Omega)$ such that $u_k\rightharpoonup u$ in $W_0^{t,2}(\Omega)$ and $u_k\to u$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, as $k\to\infty$. In addition we have that $u\in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$. We show that u is a weak solution of (1.2). Let $\varphi\in\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ be fixed. Recall that $u_k\in W_0^{s,2}(\Omega)$ and satisfies the Minty relation

$$\mathbb{F}^{\kappa_k}_{\varepsilon_k,n_k,p}(\varphi,\varphi-u_k):=\mathbb{F}^{\kappa_k}_{k,p}(\varphi,\varphi-u_k)\ge\int_\Omega f(\varphi-u_k)\,dx. \tag{6.11}$$

Define the functions $\mathbb{G}_k,\mathbb{G}:\Omega\times\Omega\to\mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathbb{G}_k(x,y):=\left[\varepsilon_k+\mathcal{F}_k\left(\frac{|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{2s}}\right)\right]^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\frac{\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)}{|x-y|^{\frac{N}{p'}+s}}$$

and

$$\mathbb{G}(x,y):=\left(\frac{|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)|}{|x-y|^s}\right)^{p-2}\frac{\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)}{|x-y|^{\frac{N}{p'}+s}}.$$

Since $0 \leq \mathcal{F}_k(\tau) \leq \tau + 1$ for all $\tau \geq 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $p'(p-1) = p$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\mathbb{G}_k\|^{p'}_{L^{p'}(\Omega\times\Omega)} := \int_\Omega\int_\Omega |\mathbb{G}_k|^{p'}\, dxdy \\
\leq &\int_\Omega\int_\Omega \left(\varepsilon_k + 1 + \frac{|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{2s}}\right)^{p'\frac{p-2}{2}} \frac{|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)|^{p'}}{|x-y|^{N+sp'}}\, dxdy \\
\leq &C\left(\int_\Omega\int_\Omega (\varepsilon_k+1)^{p'\frac{p-2}{2}} \frac{|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)|^{p'}}{|x-y|^{N+sp'}}\, dxdy + \int_\Omega\int_\Omega \frac{|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)|^{p'(p-1)}}{|x-y|^{N+sp'(p-1)}}\, dxdy\right) \\
\leq &C\left(\|\varphi\|^{p'}_{W^{s,p'}_0(\Omega)} + \|\varphi\|^{p}_{W^{s,p}_0(\Omega)}\right) < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have also used the fact that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left(\varepsilon_k + 1 + \frac{|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{2s}}\right)^{p'\frac{p-2}{2}} \leq C\left[(\varepsilon_k+1)^{p'\frac{p-2}{2}} + \frac{|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)|^{p'(p-2)}}{|x-y|^{sp'(p-2)}}\right],$$

which follows from the well-known inequalities

$$\begin{cases} (a+b)^q \leq 2^{q-1}(a^q+b^q), & \forall\ a,b \geq 0,\ q > 1 \\ (a+b)^q \leq a^q + b^q, & \forall\ a,b \geq 0,\ q \in (0,1]. \end{cases} \tag{6.12}$$

Thus $\mathbb{G}_k \in L^{p'}(\Omega\times\Omega)$. Similarly, we get that $\mathbb{G} \in L^{p'}(\Omega\times\Omega)$. Using the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we get that

$$\mathbb{G}_k \to \mathbb{G} \ \text{ in } \ L^{p'}(\Omega\times\Omega) \ \text{ as } \ k \to \infty. \tag{6.13}$$

Let $\mathbb{K}^\varphi_{k,p}, \mathbb{K}^\varphi_p : \Omega\times\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$\mathbb{K}^\varphi_{k,p}(x,y) := \kappa_k(x-y)\frac{\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)}{|x-y|^{\frac{N}{p}+s}} \ \text{ and } \ \mathbb{K}^\varphi_p(x,y) := \kappa(x-y)\frac{\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)}{|x-y|^{\frac{N}{p}+s}}.$$

Then

$$\int_\Omega\int_\Omega |\mathbb{K}^\varphi_{k,p}(x,y)|^p\, dxdy \leq \|\kappa_k\|^p_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\|\varphi\|^p_{W^{s,p}_0(\Omega)}.$$

Proceeding similarly, we get that $\mathbb{K}^\varphi_{k,p}, \mathbb{K}^\varphi_p \in L^p(\Omega\times\Omega)$. Since $\{\kappa_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$, then using (4.7) and the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we get that

$$\mathbb{K}^\varphi_{k,p} \to \mathbb{K}^\varphi_p \ \text{ in } \ L^p(\Omega\times\Omega) \ \text{ as } \ k \to \infty. \tag{6.14}$$

Using (6.13) and (6.14) we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega\int_\Omega \kappa_k(x-y)\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon_k,k,p}(\varphi)\frac{(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dxdy \\
= &\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega\int_\Omega \mathbb{G}_k(x,y)\mathbb{K}^\varphi_{k,p}(x,y)\, dxdy = \int_\Omega\int_\Omega \mathbb{G}(x,y)\mathbb{K}^\varphi_p(x,y)\, dxdy \\
= &\int_\Omega\int_\Omega \kappa(x-y)\left(\frac{|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)|}{|x-y|^s}\right)^{p-2}\frac{(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dxdy.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.15}$$

Proceeding similarly and using $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_0^{t,2}(\Omega)$ as $k \to \infty$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega\int_\Omega \kappa_k(x-y)\mathcal{G}_{\varepsilon_k,k,p}(\varphi)\frac{(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))(u_k(x)-u_k(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy\\ &=\int_\Omega\int_\Omega \kappa(x-y)\left(\frac{|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)|}{|x-y|^s}\right)^{p-2}\frac{(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))(u(x)-u(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy. \end{aligned}\tag{6.16}$$

Since $u_k \to u$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ as $k \to \infty$, we have that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega \varphi(\varphi-u_k)\,dx = \int_\Omega \varphi(\varphi-u)\,dx. \tag{6.17}$$

Combining (6.15), (6.16) and (6.17), we can pass to the limit in (6.11) to get that u satisfies the Minty relation (4.3) for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$. Since $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is dense in $W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$, we have that (4.3) also holds for every $\varphi \in W_0^{s,p}(\Omega)$. We have shown that u is a weak solution to the system (1.2). From the uniqueness of solution to (1.2), we can deduce that the whole sequence $\{u_k\}$ converges weakly to $u = u(\kappa)$ in $W_0^{t,2}(\Omega)$, and hence converges strongly to $u = u(\kappa)$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, as $k \to \infty$.

Step 2. We show (6.9). Using (5.19) we get that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega\int_\Omega \chi_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)}\frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}\,dxdy\\ \le&\alpha^{-1}\int_\Omega\int_\Omega \chi_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)}\kappa_k(x-y)\Big[\varepsilon_k+\mathcal{G}_k(u_k,s)\Big]^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy\\ \le&\alpha^{-1}\|u_k\|_{\varepsilon_k,n_k,\kappa_k,s,p} \le C < \infty. \end{aligned}\tag{6.18}$$

It follows from (6.18) that $\{\chi_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)}U_{k,(p,s)}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^p(\Omega\times\Omega)$. Therefore, after a (sub)sequence if necessary, there exists a $G \in L^p(\Omega\times\Omega)$ such that

$$\chi_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)}U_{k,(p,s)} \rightharpoonup G \ \text{ in } \ L^p(\Omega\times\Omega) \ \text{ as } \ k\to\infty. \tag{6.19}$$

Let $K_{k,p'}, K_{p'} : \Omega\times\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$K_{k,p'}(x,y) := \frac{\kappa_k(x-y)}{|x-y|^{\frac{N}{p'}+s-1}} \ \text{ and } K_{p'}(x,y) := \frac{\kappa(x-y)}{|x-y|^{\frac{N}{p'}+s-1}}.$$

Since $\kappa_k \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, we have that

$$\int_\Omega\int_\Omega |K_{k,p'}(x,y)|^{p'}\,dxdy \le \|\kappa_k\|^{p'}_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\int_\Omega\int_\Omega \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+sp'-p'}}\,dxdy < \infty.$$

Proceeding similarly we get that $K_{k,p'}, K_{p'} \in L^{p'}(\Omega\times\Omega)$. Using the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we get that $K_{k,p'} \to K_{p'}$ in $L^{p'}(\Omega\times\Omega)$ as $k \to \infty$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and define $\Phi : \Omega\times\Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\Phi(x-y) := \dfrac{\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)}{|x-y|}$. Then, for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)} U_{k,(p,s)}(x,y)\Phi(x,y)K_{k,p'}(x-y)\,dxdy\\ &=\int_\Omega\int_\Omega G(x,y)K_{p'}(x,y)\frac{\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)}{|x-y|}\,dxdy, \end{aligned}\tag{6.20}$$

where G is the function mentioned in (6.19). We notice that for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \int_\Omega \frac{(u(x)-u(y))(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \kappa(x-y)\, dxdy \\
&= \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)} \frac{(u_k(x)-u_k(y))(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \kappa_k(x-y)\, dxdy \\
&\quad + \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)} \frac{(u_k(x)-u_k(y))(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}} \kappa_k(x-y)\, dxdy. \qquad (6.21)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (5.16), (5.4), (5.19) and the Hölder inequality we get that there exists a constant $C > 0$ (depending only on Ω, N, p and s) such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)} \kappa_k(x-y) \frac{(u_k(x)-u_k(y))(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dxdy \right| \\
&\le C\|\varphi\|_{C^1(\overline{\Omega})} \|\kappa_k\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \left(\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{N+2s-2}}\, dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\quad \times \left(\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)} \frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\le C\|\xi_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|\varphi\|_{C^1(\overline{\Omega})} \frac{1}{(\varepsilon_k + n_k^2 + 1)^{\frac{p-2}{4}}} \sqrt{\mu\Big((\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)\Big)} \|u_k\|^{\frac{p}{2}}_{\varepsilon_k, n_k, \kappa_k, s} \\
&\le C\|\xi_2\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|\varphi\|_{C^1(\overline{\Omega})} \frac{1}{n_k^{p-1}} \ \longrightarrow 0 \ \text{ as } \ k\to\infty. \qquad (6.22)
\end{aligned}$$

Using (6.22) we get from (6.20) and (6.21) that for every $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Omega \int_\Omega G(x,y) K_{p'}(x,y) \frac{\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)}{|x-y|}\, dxdy \\
&= \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \kappa(x-y) \frac{u(x)-u(y)}{|x-y|^{\frac{N}{p}+s}} \frac{\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)}{|x-y|^{\frac{N}{p'}+s}}\, dxdy.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $G(x,y) = U_{(p,s)}(x,y) = \dfrac{u(x)-u(y)}{|x-y|^{\frac{N}{p}+s}}$ for a.e. $(x,y) \in \Omega\times\Omega$. We have shown that

$$\chi_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)} U_{k,(p,s)} \rightharpoonup U_{(p,s)} \ \text{ in } \ L^p(\Omega\times\Omega) \ \text{ as } k\to\infty. \qquad (6.23)$$

Using (6.23) and the fact that u_k is a solution of (3.7) we get that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{C_{N,p,s}}{2} \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \kappa_k(x-y) \Big[\varepsilon_k + \mathcal{G}_{n_k}(u_k,s)\Big]^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dxdy \\
&+ \int_\Omega |u_k|^2\, dx = \int_\Omega f u_k\, dx \qquad (6.24)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{C_{N,p,s}}{2} \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \kappa(x-y) \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}\, dxdy + \int_\Omega |u|^2\, dx = \int_\Omega f u\, dx. \qquad (6.25)$$

It follows from (6.24), (6.25) and the fact that $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ in $W_0^{t,2}(\Omega)$ (as $k \to \infty$) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{C_{N,p,s}}{2} \int_\Omega \int_\Omega \kappa_k(x-y)\Big[\varepsilon_k + \mathcal{G}_{n_k}(u_k,s)\Big]^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy\\ &= \int_\Omega fu\,dx - \int_\Omega |u|^2\,dx = \frac{C_{N,p,s}}{2}\int_\Omega\int_\Omega \kappa(x-y)\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}\,dxdy. \end{aligned} \tag{6.26}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega\int_\Omega \kappa(x-y)\frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}\,dxdy\\ &= \lim_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega\int_\Omega \kappa_k(x-y)\Big[\varepsilon_k + \mathcal{G}_{n_k}(u_k,s)\Big]^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy\\ &\geq \limsup_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega\int_\Omega \chi_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)}\kappa_k(x-y)\frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}\,dxdy\\ &\geq \liminf_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega\int_\Omega \chi_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)}\kappa_k(x-y)\frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}\,dxdy. \end{aligned} \tag{6.27}$$

Since $\{\kappa_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ and $\kappa_k(x) \geq \alpha$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then using (4.7) we get that

$$\chi_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)} U_{k,(p,s)}\widetilde{\kappa}_k^{\frac{1}{p}} \rightharpoonup U_{(p,s)}\widetilde{\kappa}^{\frac{1}{p}} \ \text{ in } \ L^p(\Omega\times\Omega) \ \text{ as } \ k\to\infty, \tag{6.28}$$

where $\widetilde{\kappa}_k(x,y) = \kappa_k(x-y)$ and $\widetilde{\kappa} = \kappa(x-y)$. Using (6.27) we get that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Omega\int_\Omega \kappa(x-y)\frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}\,dxdy\\ &\geq \limsup_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega\int_\Omega \kappa_k(x-y)\chi_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)}\frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}\,dxdy\\ &\geq \liminf_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega\int_\Omega \kappa_k(x-y)\chi_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)}\frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}\,dxdy\\ &= \liminf_{k\to\infty}\left\|\chi_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)}U_{k,p}\widetilde{\kappa}_k^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\|^p_{L^p(\Omega\times\Omega)}\\ &= \|U_p\widetilde{\kappa}^{\frac{1}{p}}\|^p_{L^p(\Omega\times\Omega)} = \int_\Omega\int_\Omega \kappa(x-y)\frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}\,dxdy. \end{aligned}$$

We have shown that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\left\|\chi_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)}U_{k,(p,s)}\widetilde{\kappa}_k^{\frac{1}{p}}\right\|^p_{L^p(\Omega\times\Omega)} = \|U_{(p,s)}\widetilde{\kappa}^{\frac{1}{p}}\|^p_{L^p(\Omega\times\Omega)}. \tag{6.29}$$

The weak convergence (6.28) and the norm convergence (6.29) imply the strong convergence. From this we get that $\chi_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)}U_{k,(p,s)} \to U_{(p,s)}$ in $L^p(\Omega\times\Omega)$ as $k\to\infty$ and we have shown (6.9).

Step 3. Now we show (6.10). Notice that it follows from (6.9) and (6.27) that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)} \kappa_k(x-y)\Big[\varepsilon_k + \mathcal{G}_{n_k}(u_k,s)\Big]^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy = 0. \tag{6.30}$$

Next we claim that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)}\kappa_k(x-y)\Big[\varepsilon_k+\mathcal{G}_{n_k}(u_k,s)\Big]^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy$$
$$=\int_\Omega\int_\Omega\kappa(x-y)\frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}\,dxdy. \tag{6.31}$$

Indeed, it follows from (3.3) that

$$\left[\varepsilon_k+\mathcal{F}_{n_k}\left(\frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{2s}}\right)\right]^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\chi_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)}$$
$$\leq\left[\varepsilon_k+\delta+\left(\frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{2s}}\right)\right]^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\chi_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)}$$
$$\leq 2^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\left[(\varepsilon_k+\delta)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{2s}}+\frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}}\right]\chi_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)}. \tag{6.32}$$

Using (6.9), (6.32) and the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem we get (6.31) and the claim is proved. Now (6.10) follows from (6.30) and (6.31).

Step 4. It remains to show that $u_k\to u$ in $W_0^{t,2}(\Omega)$ as $k\to\infty$. Recall that by Step 1, $u_k\rightharpoonup u$ in $W_0^{t,2}(\Omega)$ as $k\to\infty$. Applying (6.30) we can deduce that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)}\frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2t}}\,dxdy \tag{6.33}$$
$$\leq\frac{1}{\alpha}\lim_{k\to\infty}\int\int_{(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)}\kappa_k(x-y)\Big[\varepsilon_k+\mathcal{G}_{n_k}(u_k,s)\Big]^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\frac{|u_k(x)-u_k(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\,dxdy=0.$$

Now combining (6.33) and (6.9) we get that

$$U_{k,(2,t)}=\chi_{(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)}U_{k,(2,t)}+\chi_{(\Omega\times\Omega)\setminus(\Omega\times\Omega)_k(u_k)}U_{k,(2,t)}\longrightarrow U_{(2,t)}\ \text{ in }\ L^2(\Omega\times\Omega),$$

as $k\to\infty$. By Remark 2.3, this implies (6.8). The proof of the lemma is finished. □

Now we are ready to give the proof of our last main result.

Proof of Theorem 3.7. It follows from Lemma 6.1 and the fact that the set $\mathfrak{A}_{ad}$ is bounded in $BV(\Omega)$ that $\{(\kappa^\star_{\varepsilon,n},u^\star_{\varepsilon,n}\}_{\varepsilon>0,n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $BV(\Omega)\times W_0^{t,2}(\Omega)$. Hence, after a (sub)sequence if necessary, $\kappa^\star_{\varepsilon,n}\overset{*}{\rightharpoonup}u_\star$ in $BV(\Omega)$, $u^\star_{\varepsilon,n}\rightharpoonup u_\star$ in $W_0^{t,2}(\Omega)$ and $u^\star_{\varepsilon,n}\to u_\star$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, as $(\varepsilon,n)\to(0,\infty)$. It follows from Remark 2.4 that

$$\lim_{(\varepsilon,n)\to(0,\infty)}\kappa^\star_{\varepsilon,n}=\kappa_\star\ \text{ in }\ L^1(\Omega)\ \text{ and }\ \int_\Omega|\nabla u_\star|\leq\liminf_{(\varepsilon,n)\to(0,\infty)}\int_\Omega|\nabla u^\star_{\varepsilon,n}|. \tag{6.34}$$

This implies that $\kappa_\star\in\mathfrak{A}_{ad}$. It also follows from Lemmas 6.1 and 6.3 that $u_\star$ is a weak solution to (1.2) with $\kappa=\kappa_\star$. Thus $(\kappa_\star,u_\star)\in\Xi$. Now combining (6.8) and (6.34) we get (3.11). The convergences in (3.13) and (3.14) follow from (6.9) and (6.10), respectively. Next we claim that

$(\kappa_\star, u_\star)$ is a solution of (4.5). Given $(\kappa, u) \in \Xi$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we define $\kappa_{\varepsilon,n} = \kappa$ and $u_{\varepsilon,n}$ as the solution of (3.7). Hence, $(\kappa_{\varepsilon,n}, u_{\varepsilon,n}) \in \Xi_{\varepsilon,n}$. It follows from (6.8) and (6.10) that

$$\mathbb{I}(\kappa, u) = \lim_{(\varepsilon,n)\to(0,\infty)} \mathbb{I}(\kappa, u_{\varepsilon,n}) = \lim_{(\varepsilon,n)\to(0,\infty)} \mathbb{I}(\kappa_{\varepsilon,n}, u_{\varepsilon,n}). \tag{6.35}$$

Now using (6.8), (3.11), (6.34), (6.35) and the fact that $u_{\varepsilon,n}$ is the solution of (3.7), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{I}(\kappa_\star, u_\star) \le \liminf_{(\varepsilon,n)\to(0,\infty)} \mathbb{I}(\kappa^\star_{\varepsilon,n}, u^\star_{\varepsilon,n}) &\le \limsup_{(\varepsilon,n)\to(0,\infty)} \mathbb{I}(\kappa^\star_{\varepsilon,n}, u^\star_{\varepsilon,n}) \\ &\le \limsup_{(\varepsilon,n)\to(0,\infty)} \mathbb{I}(\kappa_{\varepsilon,n}, u_{\varepsilon,n}) = \mathbb{I}(\kappa, u).\end{aligned}$$

Since (κ, u) is arbitrary in Ξ, it follows that $(\kappa_\star, u_\star)$ is a solution of (4.5). Moreover, taking $(\kappa, u) = (\kappa_\star, u_\star)$ in the above inequality we get (3.15). Finally (3.12) follows directly from (3.15) and the fact that $\kappa_{\varepsilon,n} \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} u_\star$ in $BV(\Omega)$ and $u_{\varepsilon,n} \to u_\star$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, as $(\varepsilon, n) \to (0, \infty)$. The proof of the theorem is finished. □

7 Optimal control of fractional p-Laplacian for $0 < s < 1$

We conclude the article by mentioning that all our results are also valid if one replaces $\mathcal{L}^s_{\Omega,p}(\kappa, \cdot)$ with $(-\Delta)^s_p(\kappa, \cdot)$ given in (1.6) with $0 < s < 1$. In that case one replaces the state system (1.2) by (1.3) and $W^{s,p}_0(\Omega)$ by the space

$$W^{s,p}_0(\overline{\Omega}) := \Big\{ u \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) : \ u = 0 \ \text{ on } \ \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega \Big\}.$$

Under Assumption 3.1(a), it has been shown in [10, Theorem 6] that $\mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ is dense in $W^{s,p}_0(\overline{\Omega})$. Moreover, for every $0 < s < 1$,

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,p}_0(\overline{\Omega})} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} \, dxdy \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

defines an equivalent norm on $W^{s,p}_0(\overline{\Omega})$. In addition we have that $W^{s,p}_0(\overline{\Omega}) = W^{s,p}_0(\Omega)$ with equivalent norms if $\frac{1}{p} < s < 1$ (see e.g. [2, Section 1.1]). With the above setting, a weak solution of (1.3) is defined to be a function $u \in W^{s,p}_0(\overline{\Omega})$ such that for every $\varphi \in W^{s,p}_0(\overline{\Omega})$ the equality

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{C_{N,p,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \kappa(x - y)|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2} \frac{(u(x) - u(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} \, dxdy \\ &+ \int_\Omega u\varphi \, dx =: \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^\kappa_{p,s}(u, \varphi) = \int_\Omega f\varphi \, dx,\end{aligned}$$

holds. The associated regularized problem is given by

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^s_{p,\varepsilon,n}(\kappa, u) + u = f & \text{in } \ \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \ \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Omega, \end{cases}$$

with

$$(-\Delta)^s_{p,\varepsilon,n}(\kappa, u) := C_{N,p,s} \text{P.V.} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \kappa(x - y) \left[\varepsilon + \mathcal{G}_n(u, s) \right]^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \frac{u(x) - u(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} \, dy,$$

and a weak solution is defined to be a $u \in W_0^{s,2}(\overline{\Omega})$ such that for every $\varphi \in W_0^{s,2}(\overline{\Omega})$,

$$\frac{C_{N,p,s}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \kappa(x-y) \left[\varepsilon + \mathcal{G}_n(u,s)\right]^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \frac{(u(x)-u(y))(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+2s}}\, dxdy$$
$$+ \int_\Omega u\varphi\, dx =: \widetilde{\mathbb{F}}^\kappa_{\varepsilon,n,p}(u,\varphi) = \int_\Omega f\varphi\, dx.$$

All our results hold with very minor changes in the proofs, if one replaces the expressions of $\mathcal{E}^\kappa_{p,s}$ and $\mathbb{F}^\kappa_{\varepsilon,n,p}$ given in (4.1) and (3.9), respectively, by $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}^\kappa_{p,s}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{F}}^\kappa_{\varepsilon,n,p}(u,\varphi)$ for $u,\varphi \in W_0^{s,2}(\overline{\Omega})$, respectively. In this case $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and

$$\kappa \in \widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{ad} := \Big\{\eta \in BV(\Omega) :\ 0 < \alpha \le \xi_1(x) \le \eta(x) \le \xi_2(x) \ \text{ a.e. in } \ \mathbb{R}^N\Big\}.$$

In addition in this situation, all the results holds for every $0 < s < 1$.

References

[1] L. Ambrosio, F. N., and D. Pallara, *Functions of bounded variation and free discontinuity problems*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.

[2] H. Antil and M. Warma, *Supplementary materials: Optimal control of the coefficient for fractional and regional fractional p-Laplace equations: Approximation and convergence*, arXiv preprint arXiv, (2017).

[3] C. Bjorland, L. Caffarelli, and A. Figalli, *Nonlocal tug-of-war and the infinity fractional Laplacian*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 65 (2012), pp. 337–380.

[4] K. Bogdan, K. Burdzy, and Z.-Q. Chen, *Censored stable processes*, Probab. Theory Related Fields, 127 (2003), pp. 89–152.

[5] L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, *An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 32 (2007), pp. 1245–1260.

[6] E. Casas, P. Kogut, and G. Leugering, *Approximation of optimal control problems in the coefficient for the p-Laplace equation. I. Convergence result*, SIAM J. Control Optim., 54 (2016), pp. 1406–1422.

[7] A. Di C., T. K., and G. Palatucci, *Nonlocal Harnack inequalities*, J. Funct. Anal., 267 (2014), pp. 1807–1836.

[8] A. Di C., T. Kuusi, and G. Palatucci, *Local behavior of fractional p-minimizers*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 33 (2016), pp. 1279–1299.

[9] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, and E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math., 136 (2012), pp. 521–573.

[10] A. Fiscella, R. Servadei, and E. Valdinoci, *Density properties for fractional Sobolev spaces*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math., 40 (2015), pp. 235–253.

[11] C. Gal and M. Warma, *On some degenerate non-local parabolic equation associated with the fractional p-laplacian*, Dynamics of PDE, to appear, (2017).

[12] P. Grisvard, *Elliptic problems in nonsmooth domains*, vol. 24 of Monographs and Studies in Mathematics, Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA, 1985.

[13] O. P. Kupenko and R. Manzo, *Approximation of an optimal control problem in coefficient for variational inequality with anisotropic p-Laplacian*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 23 (2016), pp. Art. 35, 18.

[14] T. Kuusi, G. Mingione, and Y. Sire, *Nonlocal equations with measure data*, Comm. Math. Phys., 337 (2015), pp. 1317–1368.

[15] F. Mainardi, *Fractional calculus and waves in linear viscoelasticity*, Imperial College Press, London, 2010. An introduction to mathematical models.

[16] V. Maz′ya and S. Poborchi, *Differentiable functions on bad domains*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1997.

[17] F. Murat, *Un contre-exemple pour le problème du contrôle dans les coefficients*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B, 273 (1971), pp. A708–A711.

[18] ——, *Contre-exemples pour divers problèmes où le contrôle intervient dans les coefficients*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4), 112 (1977), pp. 49–68.

[19] F. Murat and L. Tartar, *H-convergence*, in Topics in the mathematical modelling of composite materials, vol. 31 of Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1997, pp. 21–43.

[20] I. Pan and S. Das, *Intelligent fractional order systems and control: an introduction*, vol. 438, Springer, 2012.

[21] L. Tartar, *Problèmes de contrôle des coefficients dans des équations aux dérivées partielles*, in Control theory, numerical methods and computer systems modelling (Internat. Sympos., IRIA LABORIA, Rocquencourt, 1974), Springer, Berlin, 1975, pp. 420–426. Lecture Notes in Econom. and Math. Systems, Vol. 107.

[22] D. Valério and J. Sá da Costa, *An introduction to fractional control*, vol. 91 of IET Control Engineering Series, Institution of Engineering and Technology (IET), London, 2013.

[23] J. Vázquez, *The Dirichlet problem for the fractional p-Laplacian evolution equation*, J. Differential Equations, 260 (2016), pp. 6038–6056.

[24] M. Warma, *A fractional Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator on bounded Lipschitz domains*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 14 (2015), pp. 2043–2067.

[25] ——, *The fractional relative capacity and the fractional Laplacian with Neumann and Robin boundary conditions on open sets*, Potential Anal., 42 (2015), pp. 499–547.

[26] ——, *The fractional Neumann and Robin type boundary conditions for the regional fractional p-Laplacian*, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 23 (2016), pp. Art. 1, 46.

[27] ——, *Local Lipschitz continuity of the inverse of the fractional p-Laplacian, Hölder type continuity and continuous dependence of solutions to associated parabolic equations on bounded domains*, Nonlinear Anal., 135 (2016), pp. 129–157.

[28] ——, *On a fractional (s, p)-dirichlet-to-neumann operator on bounded lipschitz domains*, Submitted, (2016).